

these human remains are believed to be affiliated with the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the University of Tennessee have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of two individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the University of Tennessee have also determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (e), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains should contact Dr. Jan Simek, Department of Anthropology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-0720; telephone: (423) 974-4408, before August 30, 1999. Repatriation of the human remains to the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: July 21, 1999.

Francis P. McManamon,

*Departmental Consulting Archeologist,
Manager, Archeology and Ethnography
Program.*

[FR Doc. 99-19451 Filed 7-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Logging of Consent Decree Under the Asbestos NESHAP

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on July 16, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. American Asbestos Control Company, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 4:99 CV 597, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

In this action, the United States sought penalties and injunctive relief for claims under the asbestos National Emissions Standard for hazardous Air pollutants ("NESHAP"), 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M, promulgated under section 112 of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7412, for inspection, notice, and work practice violations. The claim arose in connection with American Asbestos Control Company's asbestos renovation projects at WCI Inc.'s steelmaking facilities located in Warren, Ohio, and at North Star Steel, located in Youngstown, Ohio. Under the Consent Decree, American Asbestos Control Company will pay a civil penalty of

\$50,000 in two equal installments, will comply with the Asbestos NESHAP, and will undertake other injunctive actions, including appointing an Asbestos Program Manager, designating a liaison designee, training all supervisors, inspectors, and workers, and ensuring that a thorough inspection has occurred at a facility or part of a facility prior to commencement of any asbestos demolition and/or renovation activity.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. American Asbestos Control Company, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-06168.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 208 Fed. Bldg., 2 S. Main St., Akron, Ohio, 44308, at the Region 5 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604-3590, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. A copy of the Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the above-referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (\$.25 per page reproduction costs) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-19412 Filed 7-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Extension of Public Comment Period Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that the Department of Justice, in response to a request from an interested party, has decided to extend the public comment period on the proposed consent decree in *United States v. Horsehead Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. CV. 98-654, which was lodged on June 10, 1999, with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. Notice of initiation of a 30-day comment period was published in the **Federal Register** on June 23,

1999. See 64 F.R. 33910. The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the consent decree until August 25, 1999. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Horsehead Industries, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-271M.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-19411 Filed 7-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Joint Employment Verification Pilot (JEVP).

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until September 27, 1999.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection: